

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,160		09/24/2003	Mauro Adami	6154	5966
6858	7590	11/16/2004	•	EXAMINER	
BREINER & BREINER 115 NORTH HENRY STREET				OSELE, MARK A	
P.O. BOX	(19290			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAN	DKIA, V	VA 22314		1734	
				DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Anti-us Comm	10/668,160	ADAMI, MAURO	A
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
71 24411110 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	Mark A Osele	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence add	Iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this com	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce excent for formal matte		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quavle. 1935 C.D.	11 453 O G 213	nerits is
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	11, 100 0.0. 210.	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application		N.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are allowed.	wn from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-23</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r cleation requirement		
	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 September 2003</u> is/a	ıre: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐	objected to by the Examir	ner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abevance	ce. See 37 CER 1 85(a)	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s)	s) is objected to See 37 CEP	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
— Proposition priority documents	have been received in App	olication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been re	ceived in this National Sta	age
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
The second of th	Title certilled copies not re	Ceived.	
A44			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Infor	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152	ر د
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	man atomy perioditor (i 10 102	2)

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Rank et al. Rank et al. shows a method of splicing a first web, 11, to a second web, 12, comprising the steps of: arranging the leading end of the second web, 12, on a roller, 25 (column 3, lines 60-68); clamping the second end to the roller with a clamping bar, 40 (column 3, lines 52-59); applying double sided adhesive tape, 33, to the leading end of the second web (column 3, lines 31-33); arranging a counter pressure member, 17, opposite the roller; arranging a cutting member, 64, in the vicinity of the counter pressure member; pressing the counter pressure member against the roller to pinch the first and second webs therebetween (column 4, lines 48-50); and moving the cutting member to cut the first

Application/Control Number: 10/668,160

Art Unit: 1734

Page 3

web (column 4, lines 30-39). Rank et al. does not explicitly state that a single actuator moves the counter pressure member and the cutting member simultaneously. If a single actuator is not used, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a single actuator because the two elements are shown to move simultaneously and a single actuator would both simplify the apparatus and ensure simultaneity.

Regarding claim 25, Rank et al. shows a breaking beam, 55, in the vicinity of the cutting member for braking movement of the first web (column 4, lines 11-20).

Claim Objections

- 4. Claims 13-17 and 19-23 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim must refer back in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.
- 5. Claim 18 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because it references back to another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-12 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,160

Art Unit: 1734

Page 4

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art, either alone or in combination suggests a splicing device with two splicing heads each of which comprises a roller associated with a clamping bar, a counter pressure member, and a cutting member.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Torres Martinez shows an apparatus similar to that of Rank et al. but would not be prior art if the priority document and a certified English translation of the priority document were both submitted. Hashimoto shows a splicing apparatus where the counter pressure member and cutting member move simultaneously. Forbes and Harris, Jr. each show a splicing apparatus with two splicing heads but fails to show all of the instantly claimed features on each splicing head. Pasquale et al. shows a splicing apparatus with a splicing head, counter pressure member, and cutting member.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A Osele whose telephone number is 571-272 The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Fiorilla can be reached on 571-272-1187. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARK A. OSELE PRIMARY EXAMINER

November 14, 2004